The Svy Conspiracy:

The Anatomy of a Kent State University Academic Assassination

By R.M. Frumkin

Foreword by Brian Martin





ROUGH DRAFT of the COVER DESIGN -- by R.M. FRUMKIN

Contents

Foreword by Brian Marin

Chapter 1.	Two Explosions in the Darkness	1-3
Chapter 2.	Ghosts of the Kent State Massacre	4-11
Chapter 3.	First Dismissal Attempt	12-18
Chapter 4.	The Second and Third dismissal Attempts	19-31
Chapter 5.	The Fourth Dismissal Attempt: An Ad Hoc Financial Exigency	32-38
Chapter 6.	A Formal Complaint to the Office for Civil Rights	39-45
Chapter 7.	The Trojan Horse in a Pink Skirt	46-53
Chapter 8.	Poison Hemlock Rather than Kudos	54-55
Chapter 9.	Fifth Dismissal Attempt: The Beginnings	56-106
Chapter 10.	The Smith Case: Paradigm for the Repression of Dissident Professors	107-116
Chapter 11.	The Green Monster: The 95-Page Dismissal Document	117-119
Chapter 12.	The First Day of the Hearings: Saturday, May 31, 1975	120-175
Chapter13.	The Second Day of the Hearings: Saturday, June 7, 1975	176-193
Chapter 14.	The Third Day of the Hearings: Tuesday, June 10, 1975	194-236
Chapter 15.	The Fourth and Final Day of the Hearings: Thursday, June 12, 1975	237-266
Chapter 16.	The Hearing Committee's Report and the President's Recommendation	267-291
Chapter 17.	The KSU Board of Trustees Meeting: Thursday, July 17, 1975	292-311
Chapter 18.	Why Me?	312-342
Postscript:	Who Cares?	343-358
Resources for Fired Teachers		358-361
What Next		362
Appendix A.	The Green Monster	363-456
Appendix B.	Comments on Hearing Committee Report and President's Letter to Trustees	457-468

Whose Kove of,
people and sense Ith ll who k

Foreword

Robert M. Frumkin joined the faculty of Kent State University, in the US state of Ohio, in the late 1960s. In the 1970s, he was driven from his tenured post by the organised actions of colleagues and administrators. *The Ivy Conspiracy* is his account of these events.

The first question many readers will ask is why? Why was Frumkin the target? Those who subscribe to the assumption that the world is just will assume that Frumkin must have been to blame. Having studied hundreds of stories of whistleblowing and bullying, I can only say that the world is all too often entirely unjust. In case after case, it is the honest, honourable and hard-working academics who get in the most trouble, if they are a bit different from the herd.

Frumkin was a socialist and an activist. He was a productive researcher (a possible trigger for envy). He taught his classes in an unorthodox fashion — compared to his colleagues — putting students at the centre of his pedagogy. Probably most threatening of all, he was willing to speak out about his colleagues' deficiencies.

Towards the conclusion of *The Ivy Conspiracy*, Frumkin lists over 20 different explanations for what happened. Even though he was at the centre of events, he has trouble determining how best to understand them. Being the target of attack provides a lot of first-hand information but makes it exceedingly difficult to gain perspective.

The Ivy Conspiracy is long and detailed. It starts engagingly enough with Frumkin's early experiences at Kent State University. Where it becomes the most detailed is in describing the formal hearings about charges against Frumkin, with extended extracts from recordings of the meetings.

This is an extraordinary record of an academic struggle. Frumkin is naturally inclined to present his own side, but he also is willing to document the case against him. The final 100 pages is taken up by the text of the charges laid against Frumkin.

These days, this might be called a case of academic mobbing. Mobbing is a term used to describe collective bullying at work, with one person the target of an entire group's scheming. Ken Westhues has written illuminating studies of academic mobbing.²

¹ Melvin J. Lerner, *The belief in a just world: a fundamental delusion* (New York: Plenum, 1980)

² Kenneth Westhues (ed.), Workplace mobbing in academe: reports from twenty universities (Queenston, Ontario: Edwin Mellen Press, 2004); Kenneth Westhues, Administrative mobbing at the University of Toronto: the trial, degradation and dismissal of a professor during the presidency of J. Robert S. Prichard (Queenston, Ontario: Edwin Mellen Press, 2004).

The Ivy Conspiracy is one of the most detailed accounts of academic mobbing from its period. It shows the methods used and reveals the double standards of the attackers, who violated academic integrity in much more serious ways than their target, Frumkin. Most of all, it shows with unflinching clarity the difficulties in maintaining perspective while being the target of organised workplace opponents.

I first made contact with Bob Frumkin in 1984, when he wrote me about the Social Activist Professors Defense Foundation and its publication *Zedek*. I was interested because I was documenting cases of suppression of dissent. In the book *Intellectual Suppression*, I summarised several cases of radical US academics who had come under attack, based on accounts in *Zedek*.³

Some years after this, I guest edited an issue of the journal *Philosophy and Social Action*, and invited Bob to contribute. The issue contains two articles relating to his case: one by Avi Adnavourin about the case itself, and one by Bob about the personal impacts of suppression.⁴

Now, many years later, I am pleased to be able to make available the full story, *The Ivy Conspiracy*. For those brought up in the Internet age, it is important to realise there was a time before everyone had a personal computer. *The Ivy Conspiracy* was typed on a manual typewriter, and some of the pages are faint. It is not as attractive in appearance as many other documents.⁵

If Bob had been able to re-establish a career and make a bit of money, he would have had no trouble preparing a more polished version. As it is, though, it has extra authenticity, showing through the typescript signs of its production, an immense labour driven by the need to document and explain a gross injustice. If it helps others to make better sense of their own experiences, it will have been more than worthwhile.

Brian Martin
Professor of Social Sciences
University of Wollongong, Australia
October 2010

responses (Sydney: Angus & Robertson, 1986), 64–81, at 81.

vi

³ Brian Martin, "Archives of suppression," in Brian Martin, C. M. Ann Baker, Clyde Manwell and Cedric Pugh, eds., *Intellectual suppression: Australian case histories, analysis and*

⁴ Avi Adnavourin, "Academic assassination and a three-university plagiarism coverup: the case of Robert M. Frumkin," *Philosophy and Social Action*, Vol. 14, No. 1, January-March 1988, pp. 15–19; R. M. Frumkin, "The psychological and biosocial consequences of academic suppression," pp. 21–25.

⁵ Anyone wishing to convert the text to digital form is more than welcome!