

6

MAKING ACCOMPANIMENT EFFECTIVE

*Brian Martin**

Why is accompaniment – sending international teams to support resisters who are under threat – effective? And what can be done to make it more effective?

Liam Mahony and Luis Enrique Eguren (1997), in their study of international accompaniment, say that it works through deterrence: aggressors decide that the negative consequences of bad publicity and international pressure outweigh the advantages of attacking activists. Accompaniment can expand the political space available to activists and limit the actions aggressors can take with what they consider ‘acceptable’ costs. Other studies of nonviolent intervention (Moser-Puangsuwan and Weber 2000; Müller 2006) include examples of accompaniment with rich detail about actions and their consequences, but give less attention to how it works.

There is, however, another framework for understanding why accompaniment can often be effective, which I call ‘backfire’ (Martin 2005, 2007). This builds on the insights that can be obtained by exploring the process called political jiu-jitsu. Nonviolence researcher Gene Sharp (1973) studied hundreds of actions and campaigns. He found that when violent attacks were made against peaceful protesters, this could be counterproductive for the attacker, encouraging more people to become activists, generating more support for the protesters from third parties and weakening commitment from some members of the attacker group. This occurred in 1905 in Russia as a result of killings of protesters, in 1930 in India as a result of beatings of protesters, in 1960 in South Africa as a result of a shooting of protesters by police. More recently the jiu-jitsu effect occurred in 1991 in East Timor as a result of a massacre of protesters by Indonesian troops. In each case, police or troops had overwhelming superiority in force. But by exercising it against nonviolent protesters, they actually strengthened their opponents. Like the

* The author wishes to thank April Carter and Tom Weber for helpful comments on drafts.

sport of jiu-jitsu, in which the energy of the opponent is used against them, political jiu-jitsu turns the attacker's violent energy into support for the protesters.

But these famous examples are exceptions to the rule. In most cases, violent attacks on protesters do not produce a jiu-jitsu effect. Why not? Looking at these and other examples shows that attackers predictably use a variety of methods to inhibit outrage from their actions. These methods can conveniently be grouped into five categories discussed below: cover up the action; devalue the target; reinterpret the action; use official channels to give an appearance of justice; and intimidate or bribe people involved. For example, prior to the 1991 Dili massacre, there were other equally serious massacres in East Timor, but these received little attention, mainly because of censorship by the Indonesian government. At Dili, foreign journalists witnessed the killings. Their reports led to international outrage.

The backfire model goes beyond the theory of political jiu-jitsu. The relevant difference here is that backfire focuses on the methods used by perpetrators of injustice to prevent domestic or international outrage in response to violence or repression of resistance, and on the counter-tactics that resisters can use to promote outrage.

The backfire framework can readily be applied to accompaniment. Two injustices are potentially involved. One is whatever local activists are dealing with, such as beatings and threats, extrajudicial killings or environmental destruction. The other is threats to and attacks on the activists. Accompaniment is designed to reduce the danger to activists, allowing them to continue their valuable activities.

1. **Cover-up** is the first method perpetrators use to inhibit outrage: if possible, they carry out killings in secret, out of the public eye. Accompaniment makes this much more difficult. It introduces witnesses, moreover ones well connected with international networks with the potential for publicity, including media coverage, and diplomatic intervention.
2. **Devaluation** of the target is the second method of inhibiting outrage: targets of injustice are called terrorists, criminals, traitors and subversives. They are sometimes slandered, for example being accused of spying or sexual misbehaviour. International accompaniment challenges this devaluation by showing that someone – an independent person, from a valued foreign country – believes the activist is doing worthwhile things. Furthermore, the foreign organisation has picked out this activist as worthy. This validation is a powerful counter to devaluation.
3. **Reinterpretation** is the third method of inhibiting outrage. Government officials might say the activist's concerns are not important, or are being addressed, and that the activist has not been threatened or harassed, or that attacks are due to rogue elements. An international volunteer can help to challenge such

claims by documenting what activists have been doing. The very presence of independent witnesses is powerful testimony that what is at stake is human rights, thus challenging the government's line.

4. **Official channels** are the fourth method of inhibiting outrage. After the Dili massacre, for example, the Indonesian government and military set up inquiries that led to token sentences for a few individuals. Official channels like courts, ombudspersons, expert panels and government agencies give the appearance of offering justice, but in practice they are often biased in favour of perpetrators. As well, they are slow, procedural and expensive. Accompaniment is an alternative to official channels; indeed, it implies official channels are not working.
5. **Intimidation** is the fifth method of inhibiting outrage. Intimidation is a primary tool used against activists. Accompaniment helps counter intimidation: the presence of witnesses gives moral support to activists.

International accompaniment thus responds to every one of the five standard methods by which perpetrators of human rights abuses try to reduce outrage from their actions. This could be illustrated from accompaniment in many different countries; a good example is provided by Guatemala in the 1980s, where Peace Brigades International (PBI) first tried out escorting (Mahony and Eguren 1997: 17–57).

The Guatemalan government was carrying out horrific attacks on opponents. A favoured method was disappearances: activists were taken away, presumably murdered, with no information about what had happened or who was responsible. Disappearances rely on the method of cover-up to reduce outrage, along with intimidation: anyone who protests might be the next to disappear.

But some in Guatemala were willing to protest. In early 1984, Grupo de Apoyo Mutuo (GAM) – Mutual Support Group – was formed. Most of its members were women who had lost family members in government repression. GAM asked for support from PBI. The story of GAM and PBI shows how accompaniment challenges each of the five methods of inhibition:

1. **Cover-up**: while PBI observers were present, no members of GAM were killed. Indeed, the government wanted to get PBI volunteers out of the country, for example by cutting short their visas.
2. **Devaluation**: the government fiercely attacked GAM. For example, Guatemalan ruler General Mejía Victores said it was linked to ‘forces of subversion’ (Mahony and Eguren 1997: 25, 38). PBI was also denigrated: it was said to be a tool of the US government and to be supporting, indeed manipulating, the subversive organisation GAM (ibid., p. 42).

3. *Reinterpretation*: President Mejía conceived himself as a nationalist who promoted the interests of his country by defending it against subversives (ibid., pp. 30–6). The army even portrayed itself as the victim, not the attacker, saying it was subject to a ‘perverse campaign of harassment and persecution by the so-called “GAM” ... in open hostility to the dignity and prestige of the armed forces’ (ibid., p. 46).
4. *Official channels*: the army carried out its killings under a façade of parliamentary democracy. But the government would not establish an inquiry into disappearances, despite demands by GAM. The government thus did not rely heavily on official channels to reduce outrage from its actions against the population. But it did in trying to get PBI out of the country, for example in producing a detailed legal argument saying PBI volunteers were not international observers (ibid., p. 42).
5. *Intimidation and bribery*: disappearances were certainly intimidating. Many people were reluctant to join GAM for fear of being tortured and killed. Intimidation was also used against PBI volunteers, for example, when they were stopped by men in cars who threatened them or demanded to see their passports (ibid., pp. 40, 42). PBI volunteers were told, privately, that they would not be expelled from the country if they promised ‘there would be no disruptive actions’ during a forthcoming election campaign (ibid., p. 43), which can be interpreted as a form of bribery.

In summary, PBI’s support of GAM, by challenging the methods used by perpetrators of repression to reduce outrage, reduced the ability of the government to carry out repression without adverse international consequences. After two GAM activists were assassinated, the international pressure on the government was intense: ‘If the GAM assassinations were intended to squelch efforts at building international pressure against Guatemala, they had clearly backfired’ (ibid., p. 28). PBI’s presence helped ensure there were no more such assassinations, and GAM activists recognised it. GAM leader Nineth de García said of PBI, ‘Thanks to their presence, I am alive’ (ibid.).

Backfire analysis also suggests ways to increase the effectiveness of accompaniment. To counter cover-up, documentation and communication are vital, so having cameras and tape recorders is valuable, as is ready access to international communication. Emergency response networks – people in other countries ready to send messages of concern – are powerful tools in countering cover-up.

The higher the status of the accompaniers, the more effectively they help counter devaluation. Furthermore, exemplary behaviour by volunteers helps validate those accompanied. This is the aim of training.

Countering government lies and rationalisations is an important task. If volunteers have skills in investigation, critical analysis and clear expression in writing and speaking, they can use these to help activists engage more effectively in the struggle over interpretations, and can communicate their own understandings to international audiences.

Often it is better to avoid official channels. Rather than writing a letter to a government official, it is better to write a letter to a newspaper or e-mail list – the government official will probably learn about it as well. Rather than calling for a government inquiry, it is better to write and publish a detailed account of the events, or set up a people's inquiry. Many people believe that official channels should be tried, to give the other side a chance. Often, though, it is better to use a mobilisation strategy.

To counter intimidation, one method is to expose it. Being prepared to document and publicise threats and harassment is vital.

The basic approach is to think about the tactics likely to be used by the aggressor – such as cover-up and devaluation – and to develop one's own tactics accordingly.

People Power

Unarmed Resistance and Global Solidarity

Edited by
HOWARD CLARK



First published 2009 by Pluto Press
345 Archway Road, London N6 5AA and
175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010

www.plutobooks.com

Distributed in the United States of America exclusively by
Palgrave Macmillan, a division of St. Martin's Press LLC,
175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010

Copyright © Howard Clark 2009

The right of the individual contributors to be identified as the authors of this work has
been asserted by them in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 978 0 7453 2902 4 Hardback
ISBN 978 0 7453 2901 7 Paperback

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data applied for

This book is printed on paper suitable for recycling and made from fully managed and
sustained forest sources. Logging, pulping and manufacturing processes are expected to
conform to the environmental standards of the country of origin. The paper may contain
up to 70% post consumer waste.

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Designed and produced for Pluto Press by
Chase Publishing Services Ltd, Sidmouth, England
Typeset from disk by Stanford DTP Services, Northampton, England
Printed and bound in the European Union by
CPI Antony Rowe, Chippenham and Eastbourne