# The ERA of obscurit

For social science researchers, there is a strong tension between writing for scholarly journals and more accessible publications, writes

s one of your goals to communicate to wider audiences? If so, the government's Excellence in Research for Australia (ERA) initiative may be inadvertently pushing you in the opposite direction.

With ERA, the pressure is to publish in journals with the top rankings for quality. But in many cases these journals are not the best ones to get your message out: they are oriented to scholars and often expect an indigestible style.

For example, some of my articles about dissent are long, complex and heavily referenced, just what is expected for scholars. But some of my other articles have had far more impact – ones I've written more accessibly covering some of the same ideas – with readers contacting me saying their eyes were opened.

The journals allowing me to publish using an accessible style are refereed but not with high rankings. With ERA, they might be considered a waste of time.

I speak here of research in the social sciences and humanities, relevant to current issues such as social justice. In chemistry or computer engineering, non-specialists do not expect to understand scientific publications.

A colleague of mine at another university was told not to bother publishing in anything except A\* and A journals. But sometimes a B journal or – horrors – a journal without a ranking is the most suited for having an impact. She was also told that unless a submission received two referee reports, it would not count in the university's collection.

With such an incentive system, some of my most influential articles wouldn't rate. Two of my articles in a top journal in my field, *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, were selected by the editor for publication without refereeing because she thought they were likely to be influential in the field. Those two articles have received more citations than anything else I've written in the social sciences.

At top social science journals, there

what we were trying to say.

I know a top scholar at another university who publishes in top journals but sometimes prefers lesser ranked journals because then she can write in a clear and direct fashion without being messed up by too many demanding referees.

I put all my articles on my website, and I know from the number of website hits which articles get the most attention. It's certainly not the ones written with the most jargon. Some of the articles that receive thousands of hits per year appeared in non-refereed journals.

A colleague at a US university has the goal of writing a book – he's written lots of them – that will both impress scholars and be widely taken up outside the academy. So far he hasn't found the formula. It seems that if you write in a way that is easy to read and says things of interest to wider audiences, academics will automatically see it as unscholarly.

I'm in the fortunate position of not needing to publish in top-ranked journals to obtain promotion or grants to do my research, so I can choose outlets according to what I think will have the greatest social impact – which often means choosing for readership rather than scholarly



Brian Martin.

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University is the largest government-funded tertiary institution in Hong Kong, with a total student headcount of about 28,655, of which 15,350 are full-time students, 8,485 are part-time students, and 4,820 are mixed-mode students. It offers programmes at Doctorate, Master's, Bacheior's degrees and Higher Diploma levels. The University has 27 academic departments and units grouped under six faculties, as well as 2 independent schools and 2 independent research institutes. It has a full-time academic staff strength of around 1,400. The total consolidated expenditure budget of the University is in excess of HK\$4 billion per year.

## **DEPARTMENT OF CHINESE AND BILINGUAL STUDIES**

The Department of Chinese and Bilingual Studies is strong in Applied Chinese Language Studies, It offers bachelor, master, and doctoral programmes in Translating, Interpreting, Bilingual Studies, Chinese Linguistics, Chinese Language teaching, and teaching Chinese as a second language. It also offers a Japanese minor programme and a master's degree in Japanese Studies for the Professions. Within the Department, there is a Centre for Translation Studies, a Testing Unit, and a Chinese Language Centre which provides training in Chinese language and Putonghua for the whole University. The Department has a total of 70 academic staff members. Please visit the website at <a href="http://www.cbs.polyu.edu.hk">http://www.cbs.polyu.edu.hk</a> for more information about the Department.

## Associate Professor / Assistant Professor in Japanese

The appointee will be required to (a) teach Japanese subjects of undergraduate and postgraduate levels; (b) take up an important role in curriculum design and development; co-ordinate teaching and contribute to the development of subject and learning materials; (c) undertake scholarly research in his/ner area of expertise; and (d) provide administrative support for academic and departmental affairs, play an active role in programme management for further development of the Department's objectives.

Applicants should have (a) a PhD degree in Japanese Culture, Literature, Teaching Japanese as a second language or other relevant areas; (b) at least five years of experience in teaching Japanese Language subjects at tertiary level for the post of Associate Professor; OR at least two years of experience in teaching Japanese Language subjects at tertiary level for the post of Assistant Professor; (c) native or near-native mastery of the Japanese language and excellent English communication skills; (d) experience in developing curriculum and teaching materials; (e) track records of research and publications; and (f) a strong commitment to excellence in teaching, research and professional service.

## Remuneration and Conditions of Service

Salary offered will be commensurate with qualifications and experience. Initial appointment will be made on a fixed-term gratuity-bearing contract. Re-engagement thereafter is subject to mutual agreement. Remuneration package will be highly competitive. Applicants should state their current and expected salary in the application.

## Application

Please submit application form via email to <a href="https://www.polyu.edu.hk">hrstaff@polyu.edu.hk</a>; by fax at (852) 2764 3374; or by mail to <a href="https://www.polyu.edu.hk/hro/job.htm">https://www.polyu.edu.hk/hro/job.htm</a>. It is a Shing Tower, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong. If you would like to provide a separate curriculum vitae, please still complete the application form which will help speed up the recruitment process. Application forms can be obtained via the above channels or downloaded from <a href="http://www.polyu.edu.hk/hro/job.htm">http://www.polyu.edu.hk/hro/job.htm</a>. The closing date for application is Friday, 30 April 2010. Applicants who are not invited to an interview within two months of the closing date should consider their applications unsuccessful. Details of the University's Personal Information Collection Statement for recruitment can be found at <a href="http://www.polyu.edu.hk/hro/jobpics.htm">http://www.polyu.edu.hk/hro/jobpics.htm</a>.

For further details about the University, please visit www.polyu.edu.hk/hro/job.htm

## If you write in a way that is easy to read and say things of interest to wider audiences, academics will automatically see it as unscholarly.

are typically three to five referees. If you're lucky enough to get through the first round without being rejected outright, you'll get to revise the paper and resubmit it, in which you may have some of the same referees plus new ones. In my experience, trying to please all these referees, aside from being incredibly time-consuming, often results in an article that is unnecessarily complex, indeed contorted.

In one case, a colleague and I submitted a straightforward analysis to a top sociology journal. By the time we had modified the argument to meet referee objections, it was so complicated that readers routinely misunderstood

status. But many of my colleagues, if they want to get ahead, do not have the same freedom. So they will clog the queues at the top-ranked journals.

You might reply that it's possible to write for top journals and write more popular treatments too. Of course it is. But the huge investment for writing in the typical heavy academic style often leaves little energy or inclination for spin-off popular treatments.

Did the people who designed ERA imagine that they would be encouraging scholars to write even less accessibly than before?

Brian Martin is professor of social sciences at the University of Wollongong.