Protest, Culture and Society

General editors:

Kathrin Fahlenbrach, Institute for Media and Communication, University of Hamburg Martin Klimke, New York University, Abu Dhabi

Joachim Scharloth, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany

Protest movements have been recognized as significant contributors to processes of political participation and transformations of culture and value systems, as well as to the development of both a national and transnational civil society.

This series brings together the various innovative approaches to phenomena of social change, protest and dissent which have emerged in recent years, from an interdisciplinary perspective. It contextualizes social protest and cultures of dissent in larger political processes and socio-cultural transformations by examining the influence of historical trajectories and the response of various segments of society, political and legal institutions, on a national and international level. In doing so, the series offers a more comprehensive and multi-dimensional view of historical and cultural change in the twentieth and twenty-first centuries.

Volume 1

Voices of the Valley, Voices of the Straits: How Protest Creates Communities Donatella Della Porta and Gianni Piazza

Volume 2

Transformations and Crises: The Left and the Nation in Denmark and Sweden, 1956–1980
Thomas Ekman Jørgensen

Volume 3

Changing the World, Changing Oneself: Political Protest and Collective Identities in West Germany and the U.S. in the 1960s and 1970s Edited by Belinda Davis, Wilfried Mausbach, Martin Klimke, and Carla MacDougall

Volume 4

The Transnational Condition: Protest Dynamics in an Entangled Europe
Edited by Simon Teune

Volume 5

Protest Beyond Borders: Revisiting Social Mobilization in Europe after 1945 Edited by Hara Kouki and Eduardo Romanos

Volume 6

Between the Avantgarde and the Everyday: Subversive Politics in Europe, 1958–2008 Edited by Timothy Brown and Lorena Anton

Volume 7

Between Prague Spring and French May: Opposition and Revolt in Europe 1960–1980 Edited by Martin Klimke, Jacco Pekelder, and Joachim Scharloth

Volume 8

The Third World in the Global 1960s Edited by Samantha Christiansen and Zachary A. Scarlett

Volume 9

The German Student Movement and the Literary Imagination: Transnational Memories of Protest and Dissent Susanne Rinner

Volume 10

Children of the Dictatorship: Student Resistance, Cultural Politics, and the "Long 1960s" in Greece Kostis Kornetis

Volume 11

Media and Revolt: Strategies and Performances from the 1960s to the Present Edited by Kathrin Fahlenbrach, Erling Sivertsen and Rolf Werenskjold

Volume 12

Europeanizing Contention: The Protest against Fortress Europe in France and Germany Pierre Monforte

Volume 13

Militant Around the Clock? Left-Wing Youth Politics, Leisure and Sexuality in Post-Dictatorship Greece, 1974–1981 Nikolaos Papadogiannis

Volume 14

Protest in Hitler's 'National Community': Popular Unrest and the Nazi Response Edited by Nathan Stoltzfus and Birgit Maier-Katkin

Volume 15

Comrades of Color: East Germany in the Cold War World Edited by Quinn Slobodian

Volume 16

Social Movement Studies in Europe: The State of the Art Edited by Guya Accornero and Olivier Fillieule

Volume 17

Protest Cultures: A Companion Edited by Kathrin Fahlenbrach, Martin Klimke and Joachim Scharloth

Protest Cultures

A Companion

Edited by
Kathrin Fahlenbrach, Martin Klimke,
and Joachim Scharloth



First published in 2016 by **Berghahn Books** www.berghahnbooks.com

© 2016 Kathrin Fahlenbrach, Martin Klimke, and Joachim Scharloth

All rights reserved. Except for the quotation of short passages for the purposes of criticism and review, no part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system now known or to be invented, without written permission of the publisher.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Protest cultures: a companion / edited by Kathrin Fahlenbrach, Martin Klimke, and Joachim Scharloth.

pages cm. -- (Protest, culture and society; 17)

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 978-1-78533-148-0 (hardback : alk. paper) -- ISBN 978-1-78533- \. 149-7 (ebook)

 Protest movements--History.
 Demonstrations--History.
 Fahlenbrach, Kathrin, editor.
 Klimke, Martin, editor.
 Scharloth, Joachim, editor.
 HM881.P76 2016
 303.48'4--dc23

2015035794

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-78533-148-0 hardback ISBN 978-1-78533-149-7 ebook

Contents

List of Figures	х
List of Tables	xii
Acknowledgments	xiii
Introduction Kathrin Fahlenbrach, Martin Klimke, and Joachim Scharloth	1
Part I. Perspectives on Protest	
Chapter 1. Protest in Social Movements Donatella Della Porta	13
CHAPTER 2. Protest Cultures in Social Movements: Dimensions and Functions Dieter Rucht	26
Chapter 3. Protest in the Research on Sub- and Countercultures *Rupa Huq*	33
Chapter 4. Protest as Symbolic Politics Jana Günther	48
Chapter 5. Protest and Lifestyle Nick Crossley	65
Chapter 6. Protest as Artistic Expression TV Reed	77
CHAPTER 7. Protest as a Media Phenomenon Kathrin Fahlenbrach	94
Part II. Morphology of Protest: Constructing Reality	
CHAPTER 8. Ideologies/Cognitive Orientation Ruth Kinna	117

Chapter 9. Frames and Framing Processes David A Snow	124	Chapter 24. Posters and Placards Sascha Demarmels	233
Chapter 10. Cultural Memory Lorena Anton	130	Chapter 25. Images and Imagery of Protest Kathrin Fahlenbrach	243
Chapter 11. Narratives Jakob Tanner	137	Cнартек 26. Typography and Text Design Jürgen Spitzmüller	259
Chapter 12. Utopia Laurence Davis	146	CHAPTER 27. Political Music and Protest Song Beate Kutschke	264
CHAPTER 13. Identity Natalia Ruiz-Junco and Scott Hunt	153	Part IV: Morphology of Protest: Domains of Protest Actions	
Chapter 14. Emotion Deborah B Gould	160	Cнартек 28. The Public Sphere Simon Teune	275
CHAPTER 15. Commitment Catherine Corrigall-Brown	166	Снартек 29. Public Space <i>Tali Hatuka</i>	284
Part III: Morphology of Protest: Media		Снартек 30. Everyday Life Anna Schober	294
Chapter 16. Body Andrea Pabst	173	Снартек 31. Cyberspace Paul G Nixon and Rajash Rawal	303
Chapter 17. Dance as Protest <i>Eva Aymamí Reñé</i>	181	Part V: Morphology of Protest: Re-Presentation of Protest	
CHAPTER 18. Violence/Militancy <i>Lorenzo Bosi</i>	190	Chapter 32. Witness and Testimony Eric G Waggoner	317
CHAPTER 19. The Role of Humor in Protest Cultures Marjolein 't Hart	198	Снартек 33. Media Coverage Andy Opel	326
CHAPTER 20. Fashion in Social Movements Nicole Doerr	205	Снартек 34. Archives <i>Hanno Balz</i>	334
Chapter 21. Action's Design <i>Tali Hatuka</i>	213	Part VI. Pragmatics of Protest: Protest Practices	
CHAPTER 22. Alternative Media Alice Mattoni	221	CHAPTER 35. Uttering Constanze Spiess	343
Chapter 23. Graffiti Johannes Stahl	228	Chapter 36. Street Protest Matthias Reiss	352

CHAPTER 52. Corporate Reactions Veronika Kneip
Part VIII: Pragmatics of Protest: Long-Term Consequences
Снартек 53. Biographical Impact <i>Marco Giugni</i>
CHAPTER 54. Changing Gender Roles Kristina Schulz
Chapter 55. Founding of Milieus Michael Vester
CHAPTER 56. Diffusion of Symbolic Forms Dieter Rucht
Снартек 57. Political Correctness Sabine Elsner-Petri
Index
x

CHAPTER 37. Insult and Devaluation John Michael Roberts	359
CHAPTER 38. Public Debating Mary E Triece	366
Снартек 39. Media Campaigning <i>Johanna Niesyto</i>	372
Chapter 40. Theatrical Protest Dorothea Kraus	382
Снартек 41. Movie/Cinema Anna Schober	389
Chapter 42. Civil Disobedience Helena Flam and Åsa Wettergren	397
CHAPTER 43. Creating Temporary Autonomous Zones Freia Anders	406
Chapter 44. Mummery Sebastian Haunss	414
Chapter 45. Recontextualization of Signs and Fakes David Eugster	420
Chapter 46. Clandestinity Gilda Zwerman	427
Chapter 47. Violence/Destruction Peter Sitzer and Wilhelm Heitmeyer	436
Part VII: Pragmatics of Protest: Reactions to Protest Actions	
CHAPTER 48. Political and Institutional Confrontation Lorenzo Bosi and Katrin Uba	451
CHAPTER 49. Suppression of Protest Brian Martin	462
CHAPTER 50. Cultural Conflicts in the Discursive Field Nick Crossley	472
CHAPTER 51. Assimilation of Protest Codes: Advertisement and Mainstream Culture Rudi Maier	479

Suppression of Protest

Brian Martin

General Introduction and Definition of Terms

Protesters—especially when they are dramatic, colorful, or innovative—are a magnet for attention. But there are others to be aware of: opponents of the protesters. Individuals and groups that disagree with the aims or methods of protest sometimes ignore protest activity, hoping it will fade away, and sometimes compete with it by more vigorously advocating their own positions and values. Other options for opponents are to attack protest or to co-opt it, incorporating less-threatening components, modifying its demands, and isolating radical elements. A social movement during its life cycle may experience all of these responses, sometimes simultaneously by different opposing forces. The focus here is on one particular response: attack.

It is useful to distinguish several types of active efforts against protest. Suppression refers to methods for hindering, undermining, and disrupting without using force. Censorship, the withholding or hiding of information, is one type of suppression. Repression refers to use of force against challenging groups, including arrests, imprisonment, beatings, torture, and killing. Oppression is the systematic domination of subject groups through social arrangements such as economic inequality, political exclusion, and racial domination.

Who Reacts and What Conditions Shape Their Reactions?

Repression is used primarily by authoritarian regimes, or by liberal democracies, against armed movements. Western protest movements that use peaceful methods may encounter little serious repression, though following a military takeover or during wartime, the use of repression is more likely. Oppression is a structural feature in most societies. It can hinder protest but is not an active response. The focus here is on suppression, with some

attention to milder forms of repression.

Protest can be suppressed in a variety of ways. Cover-up includes any method to hide information that might be helpful to movements. For example, environmental campaigners thrive on information about pollution, impending disasters, and the effectiveness of alternatives. Governments and corporations may refuse to collect such information, prevent scientists from reporting their results, or put pressure on media to curtail reporting. Campaigners also suffer from cover-up of their own activities: public protest movements may experience a virtual media blackout, sometimes due to news values—peaceful protests often are not considered newsworthy—and sometimes because media are directly or indirectly influenced by powerful groups to use industry-friendly perspectives.

Devaluation includes any method to discredit protesters, including labeling (terrorists, loonies, rabble), circulation of damaging stories—often irrelevant or distorted—about movement leaders, or trivializing important issues. Some protesters are stereotyped as mindless, emotional, or unscientific. Others are tarred by making them appear associated with fringe elements,

extreme policies, or enemies of the state.

Protest movements usually devote a lot of effort to mustering evidence and arguments for their views, making a logical case. Opponents commonly challenge the evidence and arguments, but this on its own is not suppression: it is part of legitimate public debate. However, argument is often accompanied by *misrepresentation*, the use of claims and arguments in an unfair fashion. This includes lies about a movement's positions and methods, blaming activists for things they are not responsible for, and deceptively describing the consequences of movement positions. This is a form of rhetorical attack aimed at the credibility of the movement's arguments. Whether misrepresentation counts as a form of suppression depends on prevailing norms of public debate and on opportunities for responding. In any case, when public debate is open and robust, misrepresentation is less likely to be damaging.

Official-channel attack is the use of laws, regulations, and official processes to restrain and stifle protest. For example, governments may change or interpret tax regulations so that contributions to movement groups do not receive tax benefits. When groups seek to rent office space or buy equipment, governments may impose onerous requirements. Unnecessary tax audits can be a form of harassment. Governments and corporations sometimes sue activists, for example for defamation or restraint of trade, often as a form of harassment. In the United States, such legal actions are called Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPPs). Governments sometimes impose regulations on protest actions, for example requirements to notify police of rallies, to keep out of specific areas, to pay for the cost of policing, or to pay for insurance for possible consequences of actions. Such regulations lay the groundwork for arrests and subsequent legal actions against protesters, which can sap energy through protracted and expensive involvement in court proceedings.

Disruption aims to undermine the solidarity of a group or movement. It can involve the use of infiltrators—sometimes members of the police, sometimes group members paid or advised by government agencies—to cause members to become suspicious of each other, for example by spreading rumors. Sometimes government agencies send false letters or produce fake leaflets to produce tensions between rival movement organizations. Infiltrators who are agent provocateurs urge members to take rash actions, for example to use violence, and sometimes initiate such actions. This can split the movement through disagreements about tactics and can also discredit the movement in the eyes of wider audiences and provide a pretext for government crackdowns.

Intimidation includes threats and physical attacks, including arrests and beatings, and threats to an individual's livelihood or opportunities. Many citizens are easily scared even when no physical violence is involved. Protesters may be harassed at work, lose their jobs, or be shunned by coworkers (who are afraid for their own jobs). Sometimes their possessions, such as their cars or homes, are damaged or destroyed. Police surveillance of protest—tapping telephones, photographing demonstrators, infiltrating meetings—can lay the basis for arrests and itself can be intimidating to protesters. Attacks on just a few protesters can scare others: intimidation can have a chilling effect on protest.

The media play a key role in supporting or opposing protest. Suppression is easiest when mass media take the side of movement opponents. Sometimes media assist in cover-up by ignoring protest activities; they can assist in devaluation by focusing on negative aspects of protest, for example

isolated incidents of violence or alleged links with stigmatized groups; they can assist in misrepresentation through biased coverage.

At a surface level, attacks on protest are targeted at individuals and organizations. This is relatively easy to see. At a deeper level, elements vital to the survival and success of movements can be targeted.

Resources such as money, equipment, and meeting places are essential to many protest activities, as recognized by the resource mobilization perspective in social movement studies. Several of the methods of attack, such as manipulation of tax regulations, target resources.

Communication is vital to movements. They need to communicate with current members to plan activities and with wider audiences to recruit new members and spread their message. Some communication occurs in face-to-face discussions and meetings, some via communication technology such as telephone and e-mail, and some via reporting on movement actions such as petitions, public meetings, and rallies. Suppression can prevent or discourage any of these forms of communication.

Credibility enables a movement to maintain and gain support; credibility is closely related to legitimacy and appeal. If a movement is seen as honest, committed, exciting, and concerned with important issues, it will be attractive to a wider public. Suppression against a popular, highly credible movement is seen as more unfair than against a disreputable fringe group. Therefore, undermining credibility enables other attacks.

Morale is what keeps activists going. It is linked to solidarity, which is the commitment of participants to each other and to the cause. Morale does not necessarily relate closely to movement success. According to Bill Moyer's Movement Action Plan,² morale often dips just as a movement is gaining widespread support, whereas morale sometimes can remain high in the face of adversity. By undermining morale, opponents can hinder even a powerful movement.

Activists use a wide variety of methods to promote their goals. Within groups, there are meetings and electronic communication. In soliciting support from the public, groups circulate information, organize petitions, and hold public meetings and rallies. Activists may use direct action to support their goals. Many of these methods serve as countertactics to suppression.

The countertactic to cover-up is exposure. Activists collect and disseminate information that supports their positions, sometimes by their own efforts and sometimes by drawing on the work of researchers, investigative journalists, or whistle-blowers. Activists usually seek publicity for their own activities, which serves to expose their very existence. That is one of the goals of public protests.

Table 49.1. Some Links between Methods of Suppression and Vital Features of Movements

	Resources	Communication	Credibility	Morale
Cover-up		Reduced contact with audiences	Less public awareness of strengths and contributions	Reduced public validation
Devaluation	Less credibility can mean less support		Lower reputation	Reduced public validation
Misrepre- sentation			Public misun- derstanding	Reduced public validation
Official- channel attack	Access to resources reduced	Means of com- munication restricted		Reduced public validation
Disruption		Mistrust reduces useful communication		Trust undermined
Intimidation	Resources damaged	Mistrust reduces useful communication		Fear can reduce motivation *

For a more detailed explanation of repertoires of action applied by protest campaigns and targeted corporations see Veronika Kneip, "Protest Campaigns and Corporations: Cooperative Conflicts?" Journal of Business Ethics 118, no. 1 (2013): 189-202.

The countertactic to devaluation is validation, namely building the credibility of the movement. Movements often try to recruit prominent respected individuals either as spokespeople or for endorsements. Another technique is to behave contrary to stereotypes, for example dressing in formal clothes for protests. Protesters may make commitments to nonviolence both for principled reasons and to counter attempts to discredit them as violent or criminal.

To challenge misrepresentation, protesters need to keep presenting their message, using a variety of methods, such as logical argument, metaphors, cartoons, and videos. Perhaps the most obvious aspect of movement efforts is a continual effort to explain what activists are trying to achieve and how they are going about it.

There are several ways to respond to official-channel attacks that burden a movement with regulations. One is to expose and argue against the bureaucratic obstacles, highlighting their unfairness. Another is to openly challenge restrictive regulations, using this defiance as a way of generating greater support. Yet another is to sidestep obstacles by organizing through networks and more spontaneous actions, obtaining resources as needed, for example relying on volunteers rather than paid staff and relying more on resources such as free e-mail accounts and photocopying at workplaces of supporters.

The countertactic to disruption is solidarity. Building solidarity can be achieved by opening and maintaining communication; building trust through sharing ideas, feelings, and actions; and putting in place processes to deal with internal disputes. Being aware of the possibility of disruption is important in being able to counter it.

The countertactic to intimidation is resistance. This means continuing in the face of threats and attacks, exposing the intimidation, and using it to discredit the movement's opponents.

Methods of suppression and activist countertactics may evolve in response to each other. For example, suppose police assault protesters at a rally, but graphic photos of police brutality actually generate more support for the protesters. The government may respond by using more subtle and less visible means of harassment or by trying to provoke movement violence, using agent provocateurs, or perhaps by turning to official-channel methods, banning taking photos of police. The result is that suppression dynamics can change over time, though there are some recurring patterns as new cohorts of people join campaigns and new opponents respond. The lessons of earlier campaigns are sometimes written down, but there are no required training courses for either activists or opponents, so processes of trying out tactics and learning from mistakes tend to recur.

Protesters, in responding to suppression, can take one of three general approaches: defending, counterattacking, and sidestepping. For example, if the government tries to discredit protesters by calling them rabble, criminals, or terrorists, protesters can defend by appearing and behaving respectably. They can counterattack by pointing out how government leaders are disreputable, even criminal, or terrorist. And they can sidestep the attack by adopting a low profile, using quiet, private methods of promoting change that do not provide an obvious target.

Historical Traditions

Dominant groups have always used their power against challengers. The precise ways in which this occurs depend on the context.

Consider for example the movement against nuclear power. In early years, there was little media coverage of problems in the nuclear industry, a sort of de facto cover-up. But after the movement gained momentum in the 1970s, reactor accidents became newsworthy, and the 1979 Three Mile Island accident received worldwide coverage. The Soviet government initially tried to hide details about the 1986 Chernobyl accident, but foreign scientists detected radiation from it. A key focus of struggle was publicity about problems in the nuclear industry.

Antinuclear-power activists were criticized for being uninformed and unscientific. This sort of devaluation was linked to misrepresentation of antinuclear arguments, for example the claim that nuclear power critics had no solution for society's energy needs.

Official channels were used in some countries to constrain protesters. For example, laws against trespass were used to prevent or remove blockades against nuclear plants. Some scientists and engineers who spoke out against nuclear power lost their jobs.

Other movements have had somewhat different experiences. For example, left-wing revolutionary groups—especially those that consider violence to be a legitimate tactic—are much more likely to be met with disruption and intimidation.

The feminist movement has had a different trajectory because so much of its efforts have been oriented to changing ways of thinking and behaving. Few feminists have ever advocated armed struggle, so disruption and intimidation of movement organizations are rare, though many individual feminists have been harassed and assaulted. Beliefs and interpersonal behaviors have been key arenas of struggle for feminism, so suppression has more commonly been through cover-up, devaluation, and misrepresentation.

Efforts at suppression can occur at any stage in the life of a movement. When a movement is in the early stages of development, with interested individuals formulating ideas and organizing a few actions, attacks can be especially damaging, because there is little capacity for mobilizing resistance. Early-stage attack is more likely in repressive regimes where there is pervasive monitoring, infiltration, and disruption of any sign of dissent. In more open societies, a more common response to movements in formation is either neglect or derisive dismissal. Active suppression is often a signal that the movement has become a threat to vested interests or prevailing values.

At the height of a movement's visibility and strength, open attempts at suppression may be attempted but usually have the least chance of success, because the movement can use the attacks to mobilize greater support. Movements in decline are more vulnerable.

State of Research in Related Social Movement Research

Social movement research has given considerable attention to repression, for example analyzing the effects of repression on social movement mobilization: in some cases, repression stymies movements whereas in others it can stimulate greater resistance.³ A different entry point to studying repression and social movements is via nonviolent action (also known as people power or civil resistance). A key finding is that nonviolent action used against regimes is effective independently of the level of repression: the key to movement success is strategic acuity and the level of mobilization.⁴

In contrast to the study of repression, suppression has received relatively little attention in studies of social movements. Instances of suppression can be found in numerous accounts of social movement struggles, but suppression is seldom studied as a separate topic.

Interdisciplinary Methods and Approaches for the Analysis of Reactions to Protest

The predominant approach to studying suppression of protest has been case studies. Usually, suppression is addressed as one aspect of what happens to a movement, rather than suppression being the focus of attention. As a result, there is no established method for studying suppression.

There have been few attempts to systematize the study of suppression. One useful approach is to identify different types of suppression, providing examples of each.⁵

Research Gaps and Open Questions

Activists regularly deal with suppression, sometimes effectively and sometimes not, but the wealth of practical experience has not been matched by equivalent depth of research. From the point of view of movements, suppression is a practical matter involving choices between methods of avoidance and resistance, but researchers have seldom investigated tactics as a primary focus. To fill the central research gap in the area, the obvious path is to study suppression as a phenomenon in its own right, drawing on activists' experiences to provide and test frameworks.

There are many open questions in this endeavor. One is whether to focus on methods of suppression—for example documenting and classifying them—or to look for broader frameworks that may be able to provide

strategic insight by being applied to particular circumstances. Another is whether scholarly research into suppression has the same agenda as activist interest, or whether these could or should diverge.

Suppression of protest can be seen as a facet of protest or, alternatively, as a facet of multifaceted ways of exercising power, for example bullying, censorship, exploitation of workers, suppression of minority groups, environmental destruction, and genocide. It remains to be seen whether suppression of protest is best understood by paying closer attention to the methods used against protesters or by examining power struggles in diverse domains and applying resulting insights to the study of protest.

The academic study of protest can be used reflexively to better understand suppression of dissent in academia itself. Dissident intellectuals and ideas regularly come under attack using many of the same methods used against social movements.⁷ These attacks, and the cautious intellectual climate created by attacks, can lead to research gaps—areas that few scholars dare to study—and may be one reason for the paucity of investigations of practical relevance to activists. The study of suppression of protest thus has the potential for synergy between academics and activists.

Brian Martin is professor of social sciences at the University of Wollongong, Australia. He is the author of fifteen books and hundreds of articles on dissent, nonviolent action, scientific controversies, and other topics. His recent publications include: Nonviolence Unbound (Sparsnäs, Sweden, 2015); The Controversy Manual (Sparsnäs, Sweden, 2014); and Whistleblowing: A Practical Guide (Sparsnäs, Sweden, 2013)

Notes

I thank Jules Boykoff and Steve Wright for valuable comments.

- 1. George W Pring and Penelope Canan, SLAPPs: Getting Sued for Speaking Out (Philadelphia, PA, 1996).
- 2. Bill Moyer, JoAnn McAllister, Mary Lou Finley, and Steven Soifer, *Doing Democracy: The MAP Model for Organizing Social Movements* (Gabriola Island, BC, 2001).
- 3. Christian Davenport, Hank Johnston, and Carol Mueller, eds, Repression and Mobilization (Minneapolis, MN, 2005).
- 4. Erica Chenoweth and Maria J Stephan, Why Civil Resistance Works: The Strategic Logic of Nonviolent Conflict (New York, 2011).
- 5. Jules Boykoff, The Suppression of Dissent: How the State and Mass Media Squelch US-American Social Movements (New York, 2006).

- 6. James M Jasper, Getting Your Way: Strategic Dilemmas in the Real World (Chicago, 2006)
- Anthony J Nocella II, Steven Best, and Peter McLaren, eds, Academic Repression: Reflections from the Academic-Industrial Complex (Oakland, CA, 2010).

Recommended Reading

Boykoff, Jules. The Suppression of Dissent: How the State and Mass Media Squelch US American Social Movements. New York, 2006. This comprehensive analysis classifies suppression into eight modes by the state and four by the mass media.

Bunyan, Tony, ed. Statewatching the New Europe: A Handbook on the European State. London, 1993. This covers suppression of protest in Europe and can be supplemented by the magazine Statewatch.

Glick, Brian. The War at Home: Covert Action Against U.S. Activists and What We Can Do about It. Boston, 1989. This is a valuable treatment of how protesters can resist suppression.